# **SNAPSHOT** of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/08 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



1992

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Richmond State: CA

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$12,361,289 PJ's Size Grouping\*: C PJ Since (FY):

( <del></del>		=	<del></del>		. ,	
					Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):*	
Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Group C	Overall
Program Progress:			PJs in State: 92			
% of Funds Committed	92.47 %	90.22 %	25	90.66 %	67	68
% of Funds Disbursed	80.22 %	80.19 %	44	81.06 %	34	34
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	8.69	5.73	1	4.62	100	100
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	33.49 %	72.76 %	78	81.27 %	5	4
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	38.19 %	60.11 %	70	68.23 %	12	11
_ow-Income Benefit:						
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	86.78 %	78.04 %	30	79.86 %	53	60
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	67.77 %	41.03 %	10	44.82 %	79	84
_ease-Up:						
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	98.35 %	92.04 %	36	94.65 %	43	47
Overall Ranking:		In S	tate: 52 / 92	Natio	nally: 28	25
HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed	d Units:					
Rental Unit	\$8,266	\$32,339		\$25,419	121 Units	63.00
Homebuyer Unit	\$45,772	\$19,480		\$14,530	39 Units	20.30
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	\$15,237	\$26,371		\$20,251	32 Units	16.70
TBRA Unit	\$0	\$2,782		\$3,156	0 Units	0.00

<sup>\* -</sup> A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (201 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (293 PJs)

<sup>\*\* -</sup> E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

<sup>\*\*\*-</sup> This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

## **Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units**

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Richmond CA

Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects)

PJ: State:\* \$
National:\*\*

\$47,619 \$125,042 \$89,640

Homebuyer

19 \$22,880
42 \$108,908
40 \$72,481

0.0

9.4

\$15,237 \$27,200 \$22,984 **CHDO Operating Expenses:** 

(% of allocation)

PJ: National Avg:

1.24

4.4 % 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index:

RACE:	Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %	HOUSEHOLD TYPE:	Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %
White:	7.6	2.6	21.9	0.0	Single/Non-Elderly:	16.0	5.1	3.1	0.0
Black/African American:	78.2	71.8	65.6	0.0	Elderly:	50.4	0.0	87.5	0.0
Asian:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Related/Single Parent:	20.2	66.7	3.1	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Related/Two Parent:	11.8	25.6	6.3	0.0
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Other:	1.7	2.6	0.0	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Asian and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Black/African American and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
American Indian/Alaska Native and Black:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Other Multi Racial:	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0					

0.0

0.0

## **HOUSEHOLD SIZE:**

ETHNICITY:
Hispanic

Asian/Pacific Islander:

1 Person:	55.5	0.0	71.9	0.0
2 Persons:	16.0	10.3	21.9	0.0
3 Persons:	17.6	43.6	3.1	0.0
4 Persons:	7.6	25.6	3.1	0.0
5 Persons:	2.5	12.8	0.0	0.0
6 Persons:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7 Persons:	0.8	2.6	0.0	0.0
8 or more Persons:	0.0	5.1	0.0	0.0

2.5

11.8

10.3

15.4

### **SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:**

Section 8: 7.6 0.0

HOME TBRA: 0.0

Other: 79.8

No Assistance: 12.6

# of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001

7



<sup>\*</sup> The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

<sup>\*\*</sup> The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

<sup>#</sup> Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

### **HOME PROGRAM SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS**

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

CA 28 **Group Rank:** Richmond State: **Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):** (Percentile)

92\_PJs State Rank: **Overall Rank:** 25 (Percentile)

**Summary:** Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

FACTOR	DESCRIPTION	THRESHOLD*	PJ RESULTS	RED FLAG
4	% OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS	< 73.60%	33.49	
5	% OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS	< 47.54%	38.19	
6	% OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME	< 70%**	86.78	
8	% OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS	< 90.20%	98.35	
"ALLOCATION-	YEARS" NOT DISBURSED***	> 3.500	3.18	

<sup>\*</sup> This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



<sup>\*\*</sup> This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.